1857 के स्वतंत्रता संग्राम के अविवेचित पक्ष

नालंदा प्रकाशन दिल्ली

1857 के स्वतंत्रता संग्राम के अविवेचित पक्ष

संपादक

श्री नवीन कुमार सहायक प्रोफेसर समाजशास्त्र विभाग चमन लाल महाविद्यालय लंढौरा, हरिद्वार उत्तराखंड

डॉ. धर्मेन्द्र कुमार सहायक प्रोफेसर राजनीति विज्ञान विभाग चमन लाल महाविद्यालय लंढीरा, हरिद्वार उत्तराखंड



नालंदा प्रकाशन दिल्ली ISBN:?

© संपादक



प्रकाशक नालंदा प्रकाशन नई दिल्ली

①: +91-9968082809,+9315194807

: nalandaaprakashan@gmail.com

प्रथम संस्करण- 2022 अक्षर संयोजक दीपिका शर्मा, दिल्ली-94

मुद्रक

ट्राईडेंट इटरप्राइजेज, दिल्ली-32

इस पुस्तक के सर्वाधिकार सुरक्षित हैं। संपादक की लिखित अनुमित के बिना इसके किसी भी अंश को, कोटोकॉपी एवं रिकॉर्डिंग सहित इलेक्ट्रानिक अथवा मशीनी, किसी के माध्यम से, अथवा ज्ञान के संग्रहण एवं पुन्प्रयोग की प्रणाली द्वारा, किसी भी रूप में, पुनरुत्पादित अथवा संचारित- प्रसारित नहीं किया जा सकता।

1857 ke Swatantra ke Avivechit Paksh

by Shri. Naveen Kumar Dr. Dharmender Kumar

अनुक्रमणिका

भूमिका एां।		
1.	1857 की क्रान्ति के उपेक्षित क्रान्तिकारी डॉ. फैजान अहमद	1
2.	स्वतन्त्रता संग्राम की प्रथम ज्वाला एवं प्रणेता राजा विजय सिंह गुर्जर डॉ. नीरजा चौधरी	10
3.	1857 की क्रांति का तथ्यात्मक अवलोकन : राष्ट्रवाद की प्रवृत्ति के संदर्भ में डॉ. वीरंद्र सिंह	15
4.	अनुज कुमार भडाना प्रथम स्वतंत्रता आंदोलन का एक विश्लेषणात्मक अध्ययन प्रो. प्यारेलाल आदिले	21
5.	रुहेलखण्ड में 1857 के विद्रोह की प्रकृति डॉ. मनमीत कीर	30
6.	उत्तराखण्ड राज्य के प्रमुख जनान्दोलन डॉ. चन्द्रप्रमा कण्डवाल	36
7.	1857 की क्रान्ति की असफलता-एक विवेचन डॉ. सुधा शर्मा	40
8.	मध्यकाल से 1857 स्वतंत्रता संग्राम तक औपनिवेशिक पराधीनता के विरुद्ध दक्षिण राजस्थान के भील आदिवासी नायकों का योगदान कैलाश चन्द्र रोत	46
9.	विद्रोह के उपरांत स्वतंत्र राज्य की प्रशासन व्यवस्था में अंतिम मुगल सम्राट बहादुर शाह की भूमिका डॉ. न्र हसन	58
10.	ा. नूर व्यान स्वतंत्रता आंदोलन में स्त्रियों की स्थिति का एक ऐतिहासिक अध्ययन डॉ. हरीश कुमार डॉ. धनेश कुमार	66

11.	1857 की क्रान्ति का विस्मृत योद्धा 'भूग सिंह वाल्मीकि'	72
	डॉ. प्रवीन कुमार	
12.	1857 की क्रांति : कारण एवं मेरठ में क्रांतिकारी घटनाक्रम का विश्लेषणात्मक	76
	अध्ययन	
	सोनिका नागर	
13.	1857: एक संक्रमणीय घटना	81
	आरती चौहान	
	डॉ. पंकज प्रियदर्शी	
14.	1857 ई. की क्रांति ⁄ विद्रोह की प्रकृति	89
	डॉ. संदीप कुमार	
15.	हिन्दी उपन्यास और 1857 का मुक्ति-संघर्ष	104
	डॉ. उन्मेश कुमार सिन्हा	
16.	भारतीय स्वतन्त्रता आन्दोलन में राष्ट्रीय स्वंय सेवक संघ के संस्थापक	
	डॉ. हेडगेवार की भूमिका	112
	धीरज कुमार	
17.	1857 की क्रान्ति में महिला क्रान्तिकारियों की भूमिका	118
	डॉ. मिनाक्षी	
18.	1857 की क्रांति में दलितों की योगदान	123
	सुरूचि कुमारी	
19.	1857 की क्रान्ति का एक ऐतिहासिक मूल्यांकन लोकगीतों के सन्दर्भ में	128
	युधिष्ठिर कुमार	
20.	1857 की क्रांति में किसानों की भूमिका	133
	पिंकी कुमारी	
21.	प्रथम स्वतन्त्रता संग्राम : एक विश्लेषण	139
	प्रवीन	
22.	1857 की क्रान्ति में महिलाओं की भूमिका	145
	सत्यवती राना	
23.	Veer Sawarkar and Gandhi A Comparative Study	152
	Dr. Kapender Singh	
24.	Remembering and Revisiting 1857	165
	Pooja Dhyani	
	Prof. Sanjay Kumar	
25.	1857 Revolt : Failure Factors	179
	Mrs. Sharmila Poonia	
12.5	Mr. Alok Kumar	
26.	Nature of the Revolution of 1857	184
	Mrs. Gurvinder Kaur	

33.

Nature of the Revolution of 1857

Mrs. Gurvinder Kaur*

The revolution of 1857 was the result of the discontent of Indians. The annexation of native states, economic exploitation, and propagation of Christianity, expansion of western education and civilization and interference in socio-religious life had dissatisfied the people. No doubt, this revolution was a failed attempt to end the British Empire in India. However it shook the roots of the British government in spite of its failure.

Historians have written a lot about the nature of the revolt of 1857, due to which it has become very difficult to know, what the real nature of this revolution was. Many Historians have of different opinion about the nature of this revolution. Asoka Mehta writes that it was no simple contest between modernity and tradition... but a confused struggle in which a proud people felt that they were being humiliated and depressed. Some historians write that it was a military revolt. According to them Indian soldiers were mainly responsible for this revolt. They were dissatisfied with the discrimination policy of the British

^{*}Assistant Professor, Department of History, Guru Nanak Girls College Santpura, Yamunagar(Haryana)

officers. At the time of Dalhousie, Commander in chief, Napier had given a warning, in which he said, "He (sepoy) is devoted to us as yet, but we take no pains to preserve his attachment. It is no concern of mine. I shall be dead before what I foresee will take place and it will take place."2 A feeling of discontent had spread among the soldiers and order to use of greased cartridges provoked them against the British rule. Many contemporary British writers have admitted the influence of the cartridge question over the mutiny. Mr. Edwards, the Collector and Magistrate of Budaon, wrote: "I most solemnly declare my belief that with the mass of our soldiers the dread of these cartridges was the immediate and the most powerful cause of their revolt."3 Sir John Lawrence writes, "It is my decided impression that the mutiny had its origin in the army itself, and was simply taken advantages of by the disaffected persons in the country to compass their own ends."4 The Indian soldiers started the revolt of 1857; there was no such thing as patriotism and nationalism in this movement. This movement neither had the native leadership nor the support of the public. Some selfish Indian rulers, in which the names of Bahadur Shah Zafar, Nana Sahib, and Queen of Jhansi are prominent, took part in it only for the fulfillment of their personal interests. According to them, to give this movement the title of mass rebellion would be an injustice to the Indian people and they would be insulted, because in reality if this was a mass uprising, then the English soldiers would never have been able to suppress it. It was only a military revolt in which the Indian people did not contribute at all. According to P.E Roberts, there are two main views of the origin and meaning of the Indian Mutiny: one, that it was a mere military rising: the other, that it was a widespread conspiracy carefully organized for the overthrow of British power. Further he writes we may assume, therefore, that the rising was mainly military in origin, but that it occurred at a time when, for various reasons, there was much social and political discontent, and that the mutineers were promptly joined by interested adventurers, who tried to give it a particular direction to suit their own schemes. 5 Sir John Seeley describes it as a "wholly unpatriotic and selfish sepoy Mutiny". But some historians do not agree with this. They write that apart from the soldiers, many people participated

and sacrificed their lives in this revolt.

Many historians especially the Indian historians consider that it to be the first Indian freedom struggle. They have of the opinion that it was not just a sepoys mutiny as a large number of people were involve in this revolt. According to V.D. Savarkar, "Not only the sepoys but thousands of peaceful citizens and Rajas and Maharajas also rose, who had no direct or indirect connection with the army."6 Some of the common people along with the support of the sepoys revolted at several places in the Northern and Central India. In Uttar Pradesh and Bihar not only peasant and artisans but the Zamindars those who deprived from their land also revolted against the British rulers. The chief target was to destroy the British rule. 'Narrative of Events' issued from the Supreme Governments dated 12 September, 1857 throw light on the nature of this rebellion. Vipin Chandra writes that the public character of the revolt of 1857 was exposed when the British tried to crush it. They not only suppressed the rebel soldiers, but also fought a fierce and ruthless battle against the people of Delhi, Awadh, Central India and Western Bihar. Ishwari Prasad and S. K. Subedar write, "All evidence supports the view that the events of 1857-58 were something more than a mutiny of the sepoys."8 But Thompson and Garratt write, "From every aspect it (revolt) was localized, restricted and unorganized."9 It was no organized national movement, but simply a sepoy outbreak advantage of which was taken by several interested elements. Rawlinson writes, "It would be inaccurate to describe the Mutiny as a national revolution, for nationalism was not as yet a factor in Indian politics."10

Thus analyzing the views of various historians, it can be conclude with R.C Majumdar. He writes in his book The Sepoy Mutiny and Revolt of 1857, it was initially a rebellion of soldiers but later many disgruntled native rulers, landlords and common people joined it. During the Company rule, the Indian rulers and people were becoming dissatisfied due to the political, administrative, economic, social and religious policies of British and when the Indian soldiers revolted, they also joined it.

References

- Mehta, Asoka. The Great Rebellion. Bombay: Hind Kitab Ltd, 1945, p 21.
- Holmes T.R.E. History of the Indian Mutiny. London: W.H Allen & co., 1883, p 58
- Majumdar, R.C. The Sepoy Mutiny and Revolt of 1857. Calcutta: Srimati S. Chaudhuri, 1957 p 250.
- Majumdar, R.C. The Sepoy Mutiny and Revolt of 1857. Calcutta: Srimati S. Chaudhuri, 1957 p 251.
- Roberts, P.E. History of British India under the Company and the Crown. London: Oxford press, 1935 p 360-61
- Savarkar, V.D. The Indian War of Independence, 1857. New Delhi: Asian Educational Services, 2014 p 5
- Chandra, Vipin. Adhunik Bharat Ka Itihas. New Delhi: orient Black Swan, 2008, p 141
- 8. Prasad, Ishwari & Subedar, S. K. A History of Modern India. Allahabad: Indian press Ltd,1951 p 274
- Thompson, Edward & Garratt, G.T. Rise and Fulfillment of British Rule in India. London: Macmillan and Co. Ltd, 1935 p 388
- Chhabra, G.S. Advanced study in the History of Modern India vol II (1813-1919). New Delhi: Sterling Publishers, 2005, p 245